

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,213	05/04/2001	Hsiao-Dong Chiang	CIG-1	9034	
20808	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER		
BROWN & MICHAELS, PC 400 M & T BANK BUILDING 118 NORTH TIOGA ST ITHACA, NY 14850			PHAN, THAI Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2128		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/200	DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Staininer			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Thai Phan   2128    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extentions of time may be available under the provision of 30 FR1 1:380; in no event, werever, may a reply be timely field  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for reply will not set of excended period for reply will, by staturic, experie the application for some abANDORDE (3) U.S. C. § 1303. Any poly necessed by the official size that these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if simely field, may reduce any eventre previous time specified size that these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if simely field, may reduce any eventre previous time specified above. The mailing date of this communication, even if simely field, may reduce any eventre previous time specified and provided will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for provided by the SIX (1) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if simely field, may reduce any eventre previous any eventre previous and previous any eventre for the mailing date of this communication.  2) Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 Islane previous from consideration.  4) Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 Islane previous from consideration.  4) Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 Islane previous from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 28-33 Islane previous from the frame previous from the previous from the previous frevious from the frame previous from the frame previous from the f	Office Action Summary		09/849,213	CHIANG, HSIAO-DONG		
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Bedination of some may be available under the provision of 37 FR1 136(a). In an overs, Invover, may a rarely be simply field after 10x (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, which provides the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication, even if thereby field, may reduce any example plantin management. See 37 CPR 1.70(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication (s) filled on 27 February 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ○ Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4b) □ Claim(s) 28-33 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 28-33 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 28-33 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The paper specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or			Examiner	Art Unit		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In an event, however, may a neity be timely filled.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period und poly and will easily St (9) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  Failurs to reply within the set or extended perion dor reply will, by statute, cause the splitation to become ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply resident term subjectment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) See Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 February 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26,28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is a safe withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26 and 40 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 36-39 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 36-39 is/are rejected to 80 Claim(s) 28-39 is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) field on 91 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) field on 91 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) field on 61 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or both or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Action			Thai Phan	2128		
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a may by be timely fixed after 50x (e) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO parcolo to may be specified above, the measure relation year, will apply and will explice 15X (f) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO parcolo to may be specified above, the measure materiality permit will apply and will explice 15X (f) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDHSD (35 IX C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any exemd objective them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 February 2006.  2a) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ☑ Of the above claim(s)		• •	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26,28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-21.23.25.26.28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status					
4)  Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26,28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26 and 40 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 28-33 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 36-39 is/are rejected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
4)  Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26,28-33 and 36-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 15-21,23,25,26 and 40 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 28-33 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 36-39 is/are rejected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21,23,25,26 and 40</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-39</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicati	on Papers				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
3) [_] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   3) [_] Notice of Information Patent Application (FTO-132)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   6) [_] Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 02/27/2006. Claims 15-21, 23, 25-26, 28-33, and 36-40 are pending in the current Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Michalewicz, Zbigniew, US patent publication no. 2001/0051936 A1.

As per claim 28, Michalewicz anticipates a computer implemented method for solving a global optimal solution of constrained nonlinear programming with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Michalewicz, the method includes steps

Finding all feasible components of the constrained nonlinear programming problem ([0010], [0011], [0027]),

Finding local optimal solutions for the constrained nonlinear programming problem in each feasible component ([0031]-[0036]),

Choosing a global optimal solution for the nonlinear programming problem from the local optimal solution ([0010]-[0011], [0031]-[0073], [0101], [0102]),

And displaying the global solution of the nonlinear programming problem with imposed constraints.

As per claim 29, Michalewicz discloses paths connected feasible or constrained problem and looking for other path for parallel search processing and escaping the connected path in order to obtain a global solution (0013]-[0014]).

As per claims 30-33, Michalewicz discloses a process for searching a stable equilibrium of a non-linear dynamical system and setting a search path as claimed for the feasible solution ([0013], [0031]-[0094]).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. Claims 15-21, 23, 25, 26, 40 are allowed over the prior art of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 28-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,213 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

1. US patent no. 5,784,596, issued to Melamed et al, on July 1998

- 2. US patent no. 6,934,931, issued to Plumer et al, on Aug. 2005
- 3. US patent no. 7,043,309, issued to Jackson et al, on May 2006
- 4. US patent no. 7,050,953, issued to Chiang et al, on May 2006
- 5. US patent publication no. 2004/02025036, issued to Prabhu et al, on Oct. 2004
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 24, 2006

Thai 🖺han

Patent Examiner

Au: 2128